Vigo, 26 October 2022

MINUTES OF 39TH MEETING OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

VIGO, 26 OCTOBER 2022
From 09h30 to 16h30

0. ATTENDANCE

The Chair, Mr Fabrizio Donatella, opened the 39th AB meeting at 09h30 by welcoming the AB members, the Advisory Board representative and all the participants, highlighting that this was the first physical meeting after the pandemic.

Since the last AB meeting of EFCA there are a few new appointments of representatives or alternates:

- Croatia: Ms Branka Trandek (representative)
- Denmark: Ms Line Groth Rasmussen (alternate)
- Greece: Dr. Georgios Fleris (representative) Ms. Alexia Zarkada (alternate)
- The Netherlands: Mr Mats Sotthewes (representative)
- European Commission (EC): Ms Delilah Al Khudhairy (representative) - Director of Directorate A Ms Céline Idil (representative) - acting Director of Directorate B Ms Eva Maria Carballeira Fernandez (alternate) - Head of Unit C5

The Chair welcomed them and encouraged them to use their experience in the field of fisheries control to contribute actively in the work of the AB, especially in the setting up of the annual work programme and the priorities of the agency.

He also commented about the gender balance among the AB representatives and alternates:

- AB representatives: men 72%, women 28 %.
- AB alternates: men 64 %, women 36 %.

The Chair also informed on the observers participating in the AB as experts and highlighted that only members of the AB have the right to vote:

- Observer representing the Advisory Board: Mr Pedro Reis Santos (Market Advisory Council)
- Observers from Ireland: Mr Michael Finn
- Observers from Commission: Ms Sarah Vitiello-Ferrara and Ms Manuela Musella (expert)
Present
Proxies were given for all agenda items from the EC, from Ms Deliah Al Khudhairy to Ms Lena Andersson Pench and from Ms Céline Idil to Ms Francesca Arena.

The proxy from Sweden was given to Finland.

The presence list is attached in Annex I.

Quorum
The Chair concluded that the quorum was reached.

The Chair asked if anyone of the participating AB members had any direct or indirect interests in relation to any matter on the agenda. There were no direct or indirect interests raised by the AB members.

He recalled that there is a decision from the AB to declare any conflict of interest through a duly completed and signed form, to be provided annually to the EFCA together with the résumé. The declarations on conflict of interest and the résumés are subject to publication on the EFCA website and the résumés should be updated whenever necessary. To date, not all AB members have met that obligation.

AB members were reminded that the meeting would be recorded and that during the meeting some photographs could be taken for communication purposes. If anyone would prefer not to be photographed or that his/her image is not published on the EFCA website and social media profiles, their choice would be respected.

1. **APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

The Chair informed the Board of the documents and presentations circulated and presented the agenda for approval:

In the absence of comments, the agenda was adopted (Annex II).

2. **INFORMATION FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (ED)**

The ED gave a synopsis of the Agency’s activity since the last AB meeting. Firstly, she gave information on the Advisory Board meeting, held the previous day, where many of the advisory councils mentioned the significant issues that the industry is facing in relation to the fuel crisis and the resulting costs.

She then moved on to the operational update, the main highlights are the following:

- On Joint Deployment Plans (JDPs), at the Baltic Sea there are five specific actions ongoing, with a very productive outcome, focusing on the cod closure and recreational limits, missed recording in the salmon fishery, unsorted landings of small pelagics and compliance with the Landing Obligation and eel fisheries. At the North Sea there are four specific actions active, where the main activity goes to looking at the control of illegal gear, illegal attachments, mesh size, and compliance with the Landing Obligation. In the case of Western Waters, 10 actions are ongoing, focused on illegal gear and attachments and mis-recording. In NAFO/NEAFC JDP, all exchanges of inspectors at sea are already executed. At the Mediterranean JDP, the specific campaigns are covering bluefin tuna, dolphinfish, strait of
Sicily, the Adriatic Sea including the GFCM pilot projects (all campaigns coordinated on site from the EFCA Coordination Centre). In the Black Sea JDP, the Maritime Multipurpose Operation is currently running, implemented in cooperation with both Member States, Frontex and EMSA.

- Regarding the cooperation with the UK, the ED explained that the virtual coordination network is running and providing a good situational awareness picture. EFCA is supporting the Commission, coordinating the work programme and participating in missions on behalf of the EU.

- On Remote Electronic Monitoring (REM), EFCA, in cooperation with the Control Expert Groups is coordinating the REM regional pilot projects, where at least two vessels per MS would operate with an REM system in a voluntary basis. Some pelagic vessels have volunteered, but EFCA is still pending feedback from other MS. Some Member States have already advanced in the implementation phase (others to start between the end of 2022 and mid 2023). Besides, EFCA has received a request to create a regional NAFO REM working group for developing an operational plan.

- The work of the e-IRS working Group is progressing well and more focus on the implementation of module 1 and 3, corresponding to the inspection of fishing vessels at sea and ashore, respectively. EFCA is also developing the application for exchange of e-IRS messages and a testing tool for the Member States, where some delays of the initial established deadline may occur.

- In the inter-agency cooperation, it is worth mentioning the agreement on the annual strategic plan for 2023 with Frontex and EMSA, that is included in the draft SPD.

- The PESCAO project will be coming to an end at the end of next year, and there is a possibility for the continuation of similar activities in a new EU programme.

- Regarding the e-Fish Med project, the effort has been focusing on analysing existing training capacities in the beneficiary countries and looking at the possible training needs.

- In relation to the Algeria Project, EFCA is providing assistance for the establishment of a national VMS and FMC, with technical support for tender process and training.

- In relation to the weighing project, the objectives are the assessment of the control and sampling plans, identifying best practices and challenges, and to propose recommendations regarding weighing of fishery products. The project is running with an excellent collaborative approach with Member States and in close cooperation with the EC.

- On EFCA chartered means, in June 2022, the Agency signed the framework contract for three new vessels with a duration of 24 months, renewable four times for 12 additional months. All of them were built in 2018, they are also multi role support emergency response and rescue vessels, ISO 1401 certified, and will be flying the Portuguese flag. All three new vessels will be operational and deployed from mid-January 2023, and will be supplied with pollution response equipment provided by EMSA. EFCA will be holding an event at the end of January to officially present them, where the AB members will be welcomed to attend. In relation with the fixed wing aircraft, the Agency has awarded a specific contract for aerial surveillance services. The deployment of the Fixed Wing Aircraft (FWA) is going to start in November within the scope of the Western Waters JDP and it will be based in Ireland for its first patrols.
• Moving to financial management and procurement, the ED announced that the current level of budget implementation is lower than in previous years due to the late arrival of the vessels, but the expected 2022 budget implementation will be over 95%.

• Other framework contracts signed or with ongoing procurement are instruments brokerage service for the EFCA building and chartered means, the maintenance of technical installations, communication services and event organisation and the interagency framework service contract for the provision of travel arrangements.

• On Internal Control and Corporate Risk Management, DG Budget is recommending to agencies working in the same area to share risk assessments. There are no significant changes on the EFCA risk register. She pointed out one only additional risk, the fuel crisis, particularly as EFCA moves to three vessels.

The Netherlands asked for more details on the aircraft, more specifically the personnel that is going to fly on the aircraft, and what exactly their tasks will be in future. The ED responded that the kick off patrol will be in Ireland in the scope of the Western Waters JDP, and then it will be covering the Mediterranean and West Africa (under PESCAO project). Concerning the staff, she clarified that the provision of personnel is part of the contract with the aircraft (no EFCA personnel), but there is the possibility to carry a fisheries inspector on board if required.

The Head of Unit Coast Guard and International Programmes (HoU 3) intervened and further explained that this was the second time EFCA has a contract on its own, not shared with Frontex, and it will be running until the end of May 2023. He continued informing on the plan, which is to be first in the Western Waters and moving to West Africa beginning of next year, to cooperate in the PESCAO project. Later on, the plane will be deployed in the Mediterranean. The plan can be adapted, adding that in the future there will be additional capacity that will be deployed in other Member States following the operational needs. Finally, he mentioned that the flight will deliver live streaming.

The Netherlands also asked if the FWA will be an integral part of the JDP. The reply from ED was that EFCA activities are based on the JDPs and the FWA will be an integral part of them: there will be an assessment period until May 2023, when EFCA will look at the utility of it onwards.

The EC emphasised the importance of coherence across the JDPs in terms of risk assessment and specific actions for certain fisheries. From the EC point of view, it is key to get a benefit from a more holistic and coordinated treatment under the different JDPs, mentioning as an example the eel fisheries convention. She also considered that there is a need to fully include third-country vessels operating in the Union waters in the Western Waters and the North Sea JDPs, as well as collect data from EU vessels operating in UK waters. Finally, she highlighted the good cooperation between EFCA and DG MARE in relation to the e-ISR (Electronic Inspection and Surveillance Report), a crucial project to improve the reporting and data analysis of inspection and surveillance. She hoped it will be ready by June 2023.

In relation to coherence across JDPs, the ED explained that risk assessment is precisely identifying the most relevant threats that deserve the highest level of prioritisation of resources. In this sense, different JDPs may have different levels of priorities, and that is what EFCA tries to do with the Commission and Member States in the context of the Steering Group.

The HoU 3 added that following the comments from the Board last year, EFCA has worked in the JDPs text, internally, and also in the different meetings with MS and the Commission. As a result, there has been a recognised alignment on all SCIP based JDPs.
Addressing the need to include third-country vessels operating in the Union waters, Head of Unit EU Waters and North Atlantic (HoU 2) commented that the Agency has been performing this at both strategic and tactical levels and this is the core work of the Virtual Coordination Network and the TJDG of NS and WW JDPs.

In that context, EFCA is having a very close follow up with the different Member States on what is happening. A next step could be to check at what extent the EU vessels in third-country waters and third-country vessels in EU waters could be further looked at and framed also in the context of the SCIPs.

Nevertheless, EFCA is still facing some issues in the JDP when it comes to exchange of data. So far, the Agency is not able to receive all data by third-country vessels from one source. The Agency will be in contact with the EC and try to see a better solution in that respect.

In relation to the planning of the three vessels, they are expected to be fully operative for the beginning of next year. At least one of the vessels will be operating in the Mediterranean and the other two should be operating in the Atlantic. At this point, EFCA highlighted the fact that the increase of the cost of the fuel may imply that the vessels are not operative the full year. Besides, the Agency is trying to have a more comprehensive look at the Member States’ and EFCA potential in terms of patrol vessels, in order to create European added value. EFCA has a flexible approach when it comes to planning of patrol vessels. EFCA is analysing gaps and complementarity between EFCA and Member State means and aims to use the EFCA patrol vessels to fill in the identified cases with gaps.

Portugal asked about the aircraft flag. EFCA replied that the FWA is under a Maltese flag and he concluded that if there is always a fisheries inspector on board it should not be a problem (in the Portuguese law, the images regarding fisheries must be collected by fisheries inspectors). He added that it will be important to check the slots of the flights because of the restrictions area.

EFCA welcomed the suggestion to have a fisheries officer on board, making sure that they have done the appropriate training to board the aircraft.

Denmark expressed her interest in the state of play of the weighing project, a very much welcomed initiative. Danish authorities are looking forward to collaborating in the process with their experiences and their best practices.

EFCA explained that first visits to Member States have started (soon will be the turn of Estonia and Finland) and a working group meeting is foreseen for the 8 November, to make a quick state of play and discuss all upcoming plans when it comes to visits and next steps.

Ireland brought the attention to the word “control” and clarified that MS perform control of weighing, but they do not do the weighing. He required to ensure this project is aligned with Article 3 and Article 7 of EFCA’s Founding Regulation.

EFCA representatives took on board the comments and will change the reference to “control of weighing project”.

| The AB took note of the information provided in writing as well as orally, at the meeting |
3. **FIVE-YEAR INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL EVALUATION OF EFCA: ISSUING OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Chair recalled that, in accordance with the EFCA Founding Regulation, within the five years from the date of the Agency having taken up its responsibilities, and every five years thereafter the Administrative Board should commission an independent external evaluation of the implementation of the Founding Regulation. The evaluation would show the overall impact of EFCA Founding Regulation, evaluate the Agency against specific evaluation criteria, its working practices, and the extent to which it contributes to the achievement of a high level of compliance with rules under the Common Fisheries Policy.

The Administrative Board should receive the evaluation, and issue recommendations regarding changes to its Regulation, the Agency, and its working practices, to the Commission. Furthermore, the Board’s evaluation findings and the recommendations shall be forwarded by the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council and shall be made public.

For the guidance of the discussion, the Chair also reminded that there was a final version of the evaluation report prepared by the external evaluator, and that the Evaluation Steering Committee, which had been constituted in order to prepare the terms of reference, guided, analysed and assessed the result of the evaluation.

The Chair recalled the seminar held on the previous day, that allowed a very thorough debate on the findings of the evaluation, but also focusing on the recommendations of the contractor. He explained that following the seminar a proposal to the Board had been prepared. He expressed his hope that this set of recommendations could be adopted on the present day in order to fulfil the legal obligations set in the Founding Regulation.

The Chair also stressed the importance of ensuring that the recommendations issued could be transformed into an action plan at a later stage, and to follow-up on its implementation. He also thanked EFCA colleagues for having made available to the Board the set of recommendations after the Seminar, and stressed the collective work behind this document, submitted to the Board Members for their approval.

An exchange of views took place among the AB representatives until EFCA’s Administrative Board recommendations resulting from the Five-year External Evaluation of EFCA were agreed.

The ED reminded that for Board members who had not participated in the seminar of the previous day, EFCA would be happy to answer any questions regarding IPSOS’ presentation and their full evaluation report included in the information package.

The EC thanked everyone for coming up with a very good list of recommendations. It was up to the colleagues of EFCA then to make an action plan to be followed up.

The ED answered that the follow-up would be brought up at the next Administrative Board meeting.

The EFCA Administrative Board endorsed the final set of recommendations resulting from the Five-Year External Evaluation of EFCA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed action</th>
<th>Issuing recommendations to the Commission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal Basis</td>
<td>Article 48(3) of Regulation (EU) 2019/473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Adopted by consensus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HoU 2 reminded the Board members that the draft document had already been adopted by the Administrative Board in October 2021, and notified already to the institutions in January 2022. The European Commission gave a written opinion on the draft in June 2022. These comments have been integrated into the document.

In this SPD, EFCA lays down its four multiannual objectives that correspond to the areas of intervention. These are 1. Enhanced coordination in monitoring, control and surveillance, and operational coordination, 2. Assistance to cooperation regarding effective and harmonised application of Union inspection procedures, 3. Assistance to the EU in its international dimension, in accordance with the CFP, and finally, the 4. EU cooperation on coast guard.

The HoU 2 also detailed the set of horizontal tasks: promote culture of compliance in the context of the Common Fisheries Policy, and fostering EU values, ensure the smooth and secure function of availability of administrative and operational obligations; and ensure sound management and efficiency in key governance administrative processes.

The EFCA HoU Resources and IT (HoU 1) presented the change compared to the draft budget EFCA had presented last year, notified to the EC. He explained that it comes from DG BUDG, who is applying this 3 % increase in staff expenditure to cover the expected inflation which will affect salary indexations.

He also announced that Spanish authorities have kindly negotiated extra space for EFCA in the current building and the ground floor will be included in the new contract with the owner. This will require some extra money to equip the new workstations. The new space will also be used as an opportunity in terms of environmental management so EFCA will pay special attention at the greening of the building. EFCA also has to face the replacement of outdated ICT equipment, servers and so on.

The Netherlands asked where to find the financial resources allocated to the chartered means (90 million euro in 6 years) in the document to be adopted. EFCA referred to the work programme on page 62 and 63, containing support to fisheries control, ICT support and operational effective coordination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed action</th>
<th>Adoption of the Single Programming Document containing the Multiannual work programme 2023-2027 and the Annual work programme for year 2022 of the European Fisheries Control Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal Basis</td>
<td>Art. 24, 32(2)(c) and 32(2)(d) of Regulation (EU) 2019/473, Art. 32 and 33 of AB Decision No 19-W-5 of 29 August 2019 concerning the Financial Regulation of the European Fisheries Control Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Adopted by consensus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **ADOPTION OF THE DRAFT SINGLE PROGRAMMING DOCUMENT CONTAINING THE MULTIANNUAL WORK PROGRAMME 2024-2028 AND ANNUAL WORK PROGRAMME FOR 2024**

The HoU 3 explained that this is the start of a process that will be ending exactly one year from now and therefore the audience has to take into account that this draft document is not complete. During next year, there will be the usual preparatory activities, including the Board in the spring 2023, and the written opinion from the EC will be integrated into the final document to be adopted in Autumn.

The draft budget is also prepared, containing the figures based in the multiannual financial perspectives, with an increase of 2 %. He pointed out that it is still unknown if there will be additional grants for 2024.

Ireland inquired about the multi-tasking maritime patrols. He wanted to know, since some of this expenditure will involve work other than fishery protection, if there is a way of accounting for that within the budget.

EFCA clarified that the vessels, while doing their fishery patrols, will be carrying oil spill response equipment (large scale spillage response equipment). On the other hand, if there is a search and rescue incident, EFCA will be duly bound to respond (as under SOLAS for all mariners at sea). Unless they face those two situations, the vessels are fully dedicated to fisheries control. The patrol vessels are for fishery control, but in the scope of the cooperation with other agencies and coast guard, EFCA is preparing the vessels to be able also to be involved in multipurpose operations if needed.

If the vessels are involved in the antipollution response, in the contract it is established a scheme for EFCA to pass the contract to the concerned Member State. EFCA will not be paying for these activities, neither for the equipment that is on board, since it is the results of the cooperation with the other agencies.

Last intervention was done by the EC representative, who took the opportunity to assure that the EC opinion on the draft SPD will be delivered as soon as possible (deadline is set on the 1 July).

The Chair proceeded to request the Board to adopt the draft SPD, bearing in mind that the factual information for the achievement in 2022 cannot yet be completed and will be done at a later stage.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed action</th>
<th>Adoption of the draft Single Programming Document containing the Multiannual work programme 2024-2028 and the Annual work programme for year 2024 of the European Fisheries Control Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Adopted by consensus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. **COOPERATION WITH OTHER EU AGENCIES**

The HoU 3 introduced the increasing cooperation with other EU agencies. He first referred to the cooperation foreseen by the Agency´s Regulation in relation to the EU Integrated Maritime Policy as the basis for the Service Level Agreement (SLA) with EMSA (dating from 2012) which preceded the Tripartite Working Arrangement of 2016.

He highlighted as its most important features the IMS application provided to MS, with more than 1,000 users, the Copernicus Maritime Surveillance Services for fisheries control purposes, the antipollution response services and the use of RPAS on board EFCA´s vessels.

The Agency proposed the endorsement of the new SLA with EMSA, updating the existing areas of cooperation and extending them to assistance in training and capacity building, among others. It was clarified that the text should in turn be adopted by EMSA´s AB. The HoU 3 mentioned that an update of the bilateral SLA with Frontex was also planned.

With regards to other agencies, he referred to the involvement of the Agency in EUSPA projects following the recent SLA signed between the Agencies, on the Blue Box prototype and on the development of a remote search and rescue beacon. Spain had already joined this cooperation and he invited all Member State fisheries control authorities to express their interest to receive information about the projects.

The HoU 3 provided information on the state of play of the planned formalisation of bilateral agreements with ESA, EU SatCen – in the very final stage – and the cooperation with the European Environmental Agency - recently discussed and in line with the recent EP recommendation on EFCA´s discharge.

The Chair remarked the positive dynamics among agencies as reflected in the context of the Seminar.

Denmark enquired about the operational and administrative costs involved for the Agency to support the IMS service, and how the EMSA SLA was reflected in the work programme.

The ED clarified that, while the RPAS service with the pilot onboard one vessel was provided by EMSA with no cost for EFCA, it would require some budget to provide for the same service on the other two vessels.

The HoU 3 added that this investment would ensure a more stable agreement to improve the IMS services to MS, considering the high number of users and the specific needs for the different JDPs, such as the algorithms for closed areas, representing an increase in work for EMSA, estimated in two posts and around 300,000 euros of annual expenditure. He indicated that the financial package to cover personnel and expenses is not unusual between agencies, citing the example of Frontex, and reminded other elements provided with no cost that would remain, such as the Copernicus imagery services and the antipollution equipment which, on his view, made it a balanced agreement recognising the scope of the Agency´s activities.

The Commission deemed important the specific agreements containing the budget, as well as any amendment, be presented to the Board before the signature by both Executive Directors, (specific agreements being directly linked to the SLA, which works as a framework agreement).

The HoU 3 remarked that while the SLA would require the AB authorisation, the implementation would remain under the responsibility of the EFCA Executive Director, without prejudice to the information points to keep the AB updated on the developments. The Commission welcomed the idea to be informed.

Ireland underlined the benefits of the IMS system, which is part of this SLA.
There were no more comments and the Board took note of the information received on the cooperation with other EU Agencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed action</th>
<th>Authorisation to EFCA ED to sign the proposed Service Level Agreement between EFCA and EMSA (for decision).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decision</td>
<td>Adopted by consensus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. AOB

The ED thanked the Spanish administration for the support to EFCA through the seat agreement and passed the floor to the Spanish delegate to announce the news on the building.

Spain announced the agreement reached to expand the headquarters of EFCA by adding the ground floor of the current building for office space. She said that Spain is proud of this agreement as it realises the important work EFCA does in cooperation with Member States and the EC on fisheries control and the fight against IUU. She also acknowledged the important role of Vigo as one of the main cities in Europe for fisheries.

The ED then explained that by having the entire building at its disposal, EFCA will need to assess the needs for coordination centres, give space to the additional staff, but also making it a more sustainable building so that EFCA can walk its talk of living blue.

The Chair welcomed the positive news that linked very well with the discussions in the morning about the future of EFCA. He also praised the good location in Vigo to host the important discussions related to EFCA’s work.

The dates of the future meetings were proposed: 20 April 2023 for a physical meeting and 24 October 2023 for an online meeting.

The ED also announced EFCA´s intention to have the launching event of the three EFCA vessels in January. An invitation will be sent to the AB members.

Before closing the meeting, the Chair thanked the Irish representative Michéal O´Mahony, at his last meeting, for his important contributions to EFCA´s Administrative Board.
## ANNEX I

### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS TO THE MEETING OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD OF THE EFCA

**Vigo, 26 October 2022**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
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<tr>
<td>(EE) Estonia: Mr Indrek ULLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LV) Latvia: Mr Miks VEINBERGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LT) Lithuania: -</td>
<td>Mr Tomas KAZLAUSKAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LU) Luxembourg: -</td>
<td>Mr Marc KREIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HU) Hungary: -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Mr Marvin SEGUNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NL) Netherlands: Mr Mats SOTTHEWES</td>
<td>Mr Gerrit Albertus LAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(AT) Austria: Ms Margareta Stubenrauch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PL) Poland: -</td>
<td>Mr Stanislaw KASPEREK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PT) Portugal: -</td>
<td>Mr Carlos FERREIRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RO) Romania: Mr Marian AVRAM</td>
<td>Ms Ancuta KAZIMIROVICZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SL) Slovenia: -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SK) Slovakia: -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FI) Finland¹: Mr Harri KUKKA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SE) Sweden: -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**European Commission (EC):**
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**Advisory Board representative:**
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---

¹ Proxy from SE to FI for all items
² Proxy from EC (DAK) for all items
³ Proxy from EC (CI) for all items
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European Fisheries Control Agency

Agenda of the meeting of the Administrative Board of the EFCA

on 26 October 2022

09h30 – 13h00
14h30 – 16h30

(D=decision; I=information)

1. Approval of the draft agenda

2. Information from the Executive Director including state of play of EFCA contracted means

3. Five-Year Independent External Evaluation of EFCA: issuing of recommendations

4. Adoption of the Single Programming Document containing the Multiannual work programme 2023-2027 and Annual work programme for 2023 and of the Budget and the Establishment plan of the European Fisheries Control Agency for year 2023 including the implementation of Article 30 of the CFP (International Dimension)

5. Adoption of the draft Single Programming Document containing the Multiannual work programme 2024-2028 and Annual work programme for 2024

6. Cooperation with other EU Agencies

7. AOB

Electronic signature